Radiographic Evaluation of Root and Canal Morphologies of Third Molar Teeth in Iranian Population
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Background: Root and canal morphology of teeth has a significant role in endodontic treatment success. Third molars have strategic roles after losing first and second molars.

Objectives: This study investigated the morphology of permanent third molar using radiography in Dentistry University of Hamadan during 2011.

Materials and Methods: In this experimental study, 352 extracted molars (179 maxillary and 173 mandibular molars) were collected. After preparing access cavity and file placement in canals, to investigate the number of roots, canals and canal type periapical radiographies were taken from two directions (mesiodistal and buccolingual). The results were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0 software and descriptive statistics.

Results: According to the study on 173 mandibular third molars, 92.4%, 4.6%, and 2.89% had two, one, and three roots, respectively. Majority of them had two or three canals and most of mesial root canals were type 2; but in 179 maxillary third molars, findings showed that 67.6%, 15%, 11.7%, and 5.6% of teeth had three, one, two, and four roots, respectively, majority of which had three canals (68.7%). Canals of 100% of distobuccal and palatal roots and 91% of mesiobuccal roots were type 1.

Conclusions: However, root and canal anatomies of third molar teeth are unknown, but studies focusing on third molar teeth have shown their possibility of treatment.
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then irrigated by and stored in normal saline until initiating the test. Two initial mesiodistal and buccolingual direction radiographies were taken.

1. Mesiobuccal, 2. Computed Tomography, 3. Cone Beam Computed Tomography

In opened orifices, K files, number 0.08, were placed in canals till the root apex for demonstrating the canals; if K file number 0.08 could not penetrate to the orifice of canals, long shank diamond round bur was used till 2 mm depth of the orifice, and further, if the root canal was not opened by this method, the tooth was excluded. All the canals were filed till K file number 15. A further K file number 0.08 was placed in additive apical foramen, so it was possible to investigate the Vertucci canal types. After preparing two radiographies of mesiodistal and buccolingual directions with K file number 0.15, we could evaluate the canals numbers, types and configurations. Finally, data were analyzed by SPSS version 16.0 and descriptive statistics.

4. Results

The study was performed on 352 extracted third molar teeth, including 179 maxillary and 173 mandibular third molars. The maximum percentages were specified to two rooted mandibular and three rooted maxillary third molars (Figure 1). Most of the third molars had two canals in mandible and three canals in maxilla (Figure 2). It was considerable that all single root mandibular third molars were Vertucci type 1. In contrast, it was 85.18% in maxillary third molars, and then, 7.4% of the single-root teeth in upper jaw were Vertucci type 2. In two-rooted mandibular teeth, mesial root had single canal in 51.87% and two canals in 48.13% of species. In addition, 96.87% were single canal and 3.13% had two canals in the distal root. The canal types data are indicated in Table 1.

In three-rooted mandibular third molars, 60% of mesial roots had single canal and Vertucci type 1 and 40% had two canals with same percentages of types 2 and 4. In addition, 100% of distobuccal and distolingual canals of three-rooted mandibular third molars were Vertucci type 1. Of single roots maxillary third molars, 85.19% had only one canal and the rest (14.81%) had two. In two-rooted teeth of the same jaw, 95.78% of buccal roots had one canal with Vertucci type 1 and the rest had two canals with same percentages of Vertucci types 2 and 3. However, palatal root in 100% of cases had single canal with Vertucci type 1. Two- and three-rooted maxillary third molars had single canal palatal roots and Vertucci type 1. 100% of distobuccal roots and 91.17% of mesiobuccal roots in three-rooted maxillary third molars had one canal and Vertucci type 1. The rest of mesiobuccal roots (9.73%) were found with two canals and Vertucci types 2, 4 and 5. In four-rooted teeth, all canals such as palatal, mesiobuccal 1, mesiobuccal 2, and distobuccal, were Vertucci type 1. Furthermore, we could find 8.94% anomaly in maxillary third molars with 8.13% palatal and distobuccal roots fusion and 0.81% mesiobuccal and distobuccal roots fusion, but no anomaly was found in other species.

![Figure 1. Root Number of Third Molar Teeth According to the Percentages](image1)

![Figure 2. Canal Number of Third Molar Teeth According to the Percentages](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root type</th>
<th>Canal Type</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.87</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td></td>
<td>96.87</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

There are different ways to evaluate the canal morphology; but in this study, file placement in the canals and radiography were used (11). In most studies investigating the canal morphology, Vertucci classification has been used (12-17). They showed that results were affected intensively by sex and methodology of the research (10, 17-19). Considering the classic information, maxillary third molars do not have definitive root and canal anatomies; but, in the case of losing first and second molars, third molars will have strategic roles. However, maxillary third molar studies have indicated their possibility of treatment.

Sert et al. evaluated the third molar anatomy of Turkish population with dye. Their results indicated 35.5% of maxillary third molars were one-rooted, which was 15.8% in our study. In their study, 24.9% of mandibular third molars were single-rooted, but we found it to be 4.62%. In the same study, 69.2% and 5.4% of teeth were two- and three-rooted, which
were 92.49% and 2.89% respectively in our study. The differences between the two studies could be due to differences in races, population species, and methodologies. However, majority of mandibular third molars were two-rooted and minority of them were three-rooted in both studies (20).

Sidow et al. investigated 300 third molars of the same percentage using dye, and demonstrated that 17% of mandibular third molars had one root, 77% two roots, 5% three roots and 1% four roots; in comparison, we did not find four-rooted mandibular third molars and majority of teeth (92.49%) had two roots, which was in accordance with Sidow's study. Probably, different results of two studies were because of different races and methods (21).

In two-directional radiography used by Pineda et al. (same as our study), the canal types in mesial roots of mandibular third molars were respectively type 1, type 2, and type 4 from top to bottom and the lowest was type 5. The result of two studies were exactly the same and different percentages may be due to race variations of different populations (22). In a recent study, 100% of three-rooted maxillary third molars had distobuccal and palatal roots of Vertucci type 1, while 91.17% of mesiobuccal roots were Vertucci type 1 and 9.73% type 2. Weng et al. analyzed an Indian population and concluded that 87.5%, 91.6%, and 62.5% of distobuccal, palatal, and mesiobuccal roots, respectively, were type 1. Different results might be due to different methods and race variations of different populations (23). Alavi et al. investigated the maxillary molars morphology in a Thai population. Distobuccal and palatal roots were type 1 in 100% of cases, which was exactly similar to the present study, but the mesiobuccal root was type 1 in 54.5% of species, while we found it to be 91.17% (24).

Ng et al. evaluated root and canal morphologies of Burmese maxillary molars with majority of palatal (100%) and distobuccal roots of type 1, which was in accordance with our results (25).

In this study, root and canal morphologies of maxillary and mandibular third molars were evaluated by radiography. According to our findings, 92.4% of mandibular third molars were two-rooted, 4.6% one-rooted and 2.8% three-rooted. In addition, majority of them had two or three canals (47.5% had two and 43.9% had three canals). Mesial roots of mandibular third molars with two canals were divided to four types of Vertucci, which were respectively type 2, 3, 4, and 5, from top to bottom. Furthermore, among 179 extracted maxillary third molars, 67.6% were three-rooted, 15% one-rooted, 11.7% two-rooted, and 5.6% four-rooted and majority of them had three canals (68.7%). Of distobuccal and palatal roots, 100% were type 1 and 91% and 9% of mesiobuccal roots were respectively types 1 and 2.

References


